

1 STATE OF ALASKA  
2 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
3 DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS, AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING  
4

5 BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS AGENDA  
6 December 3, 2021  
7

8 These are DRAFT minutes prepared by the staff of the Division of Corporation, Business, and  
9 Professional Licensing. These minutes have not been approved by the Board.

10 Teleconference

11 Meeting ID: 847 8563 8998

12 Registration Link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84785638998>  
13 yurTMjE9wGdQUzyJLf1YAYU3SOvK8K

14 Remote Call in Number: 1-253-215-8782  
15

16 Board members present, constituting a quorum, were:

17 Jon Woller  
18 Kelly Lucas  
19 David Nielson – Board President  
20 Jesse Hronkin  
21 Greg Johnson  
22 Dominic Wenzell  
23 Christina Hansen – *joined at 9:10 AM*  
24

25 In attendance from the Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing, Department of  
26 Commerce, Community and Economic Development were:

27 Abby O'Brien – Licensing Examiner  
28 Terry Ryals – Records and Licensing Supervisor  
29 Erika Priksat – Senior Investigator – *Joined at 9:40 AM*  
30 Colleen Kautz – Program Coordinator - *Joined at 9:33 AM*  
31 Christina Bond –Investigator 3 - *Joined at 9:21 AM*  
32 Sara Chambers – Division Director – *Joined at 10:29 AM*  
33 Jasmin Martin – Legislative Admin Assistant – *Joined 11:22 AM*  
34 Lisa Sherrell – PDMP Program Coordinator - *Joined at 1:06 PM*  
35

36 Members of the Public in attendance:

37  
38 Tom Hatcher  
39 Cindy Gaskill – *Joined at 9:41 AM*  
40 Kenley Michaud – *Joined at 9:42 AM*  
41 Richard Cobler – *Joined at 10:10 AM*  
42 Dr. David Logan – *Joined at 10:29 AM*  
43 Dr. Richard Downing – *Joined at 2:52 PM*  
44

On Record at 9:02 AM

**Agenda Item 1 – Roll Call – 9:02 AM**

Dr. Nielson reported that he had received no notifications or complaints and had made no written determinations for the preceding quarter.

When reviewing the agenda, Dr. Nielson did not have any proposed changes. Dr. Wenzell offered a brief explanation of the penalty matrix he would be covering under Old Business and requested that the agenda item be moved up if possible, to accommodate his needing to leave early in the afternoon.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Hronkin, seconded by Dr. Woller, and with unanimous consent, it was RESOLVED that the Board accept the agenda as amended. 9:04 AM**

**Agenda Item 2 – Review/Approve Minutes – 9:05 AM**

Dr. Nielson requested a change in the minutes from the board's August 20, 2021 meeting. He asked Ms. O'Brien to change Line 117 from "due to the lack of decay, demineralized enamel, tissue damage, and absence of patient management on the simulated models" to "due to the fact that the simulated teeth tend to have more decay to deal with than the teeth chosen by candidates to treat on live patients, which usually had minimal decay. The only thing missing from the simulated teeth is demineralized dentin and management of a live patient", to better align with his intent stated previously.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Johnson, seconded by Dr. Hronkin, and with unanimous consent, it was RESOLVED that the Board accept the previous minutes as amended. 9:07 AM**

**Agenda Item 3 – Old Business – 9:08 AM**

Dr. Wenzell began his introduction to the Washington State Penalty Matrix, which was created as a method to discipline dental professionals for varying offenses. He added that while there are monetary penalties attached to infractions, the fines were left out of the matrix to allow the dental board oversight and discretion when deciding on a consequence. Dr. Wenzell noted that the offenses ranged from standard of care up to felonies and were sorted into 3 tiers based on severity. He liked the layout of the charts and would like to add an additional section regarding Continuing Education requirement violations. Dr. Wenzell stated that this penalty matrix would be the easiest to develop, as the requirements are laid out in and supported by statutes and regulations, and it would be difficult for a practitioner to argue that they were short of credits. He wanted to develop a monetary-based penalty matrix, which would utilize an initial fine and then a sliding scale dependent on the number of credits a licensee was missing. Dr. Wenzell also recommended requiring the licensee in question to complete the unearned credits within a specific timeframe, such as 90 days. He then requested feedback from the board, asking if they'd prefer a monetary fine or a penalty of earning additional or double credits.

Dr. Nielson found the fine range penalty appropriate, with Dr. Wenzell adding that larger fines for more egregious offenses would be drafted. Dr. Nielson also added a request for a section to address ethics violations and noted that the Washington matrix included a Prescriptive Drugs Violations section, asking if Dr. Wenzell would be able to use the board's draft of the PDMP penalty matrix and incorporate it with his matrix already in development. Dr. Wenzell replied that yes, he could create a draft, and that he would want any new fines to be substantial enough to deter potential future violations. Dr. Nielson

83 agreed, adding that should the penalty matrix be adopted, then the Investigations team would have it as  
84 a reference for their punitive guidelines.

85 Dr. Johnson offered that if the board was looking for distinction between the tiers for the penalty  
86 amount, that tiers 2 and 3 could be for repeat offenders. He liked the idea of a monetary punishment,  
87 stating that CE credits are easily obtained online and only having the licensee make up credit time would  
88 do little to deter future infractions. Dr. Woller added that the matrix should serve as a framework  
89 rather than a rule as it would provide flexibility and discretion for individual cases, stating that the board  
90 should avoid tying too much into existing statutes and regulations to allow for change. Dr. Wenzell  
91 finished by saying that creating the matrix would allow the board to take a proactive course of action  
92 rather than a reactive one when addressing violations by licensees along with the Investigations team.

93 **Agenda Item 4 – Investigations – 9:26 AM**

94 Ms. Bond was subbing in for Ms. Bautista from the Investigations unit. She introduced the  
95 Investigations report from August 17, 2021 through November 19, 2021, stating that there were 61  
96 cases currently open and 6 closed during that time period. Dr. Wenzell requested clarification of the  
97 number of cases between the last 2 quarters, asking if these were new cases opened versus existing  
98 cases remaining open from the past. Ms. Bond replied that the difference between the two quarters'  
99 numbers are cumulative, so the total number of cases from the previous report (open minus the  
100 number of closed, or 53) taken from this quarter's total case report would represent the number of new  
101 cases opened for the period, which was 8.

102 Dr. Nielson asked if it was possible to combine the number of cases with each offending licensee, to see  
103 how they affect the total numbers. Dr. Johnson agreed, stating that public perception of the profession  
104 could be negatively affected if there is the impression that 61 separate licensees have violations, versus  
105 fewer licensees with multiple infractions. Ms. Bond replied that while it is not a standard procedure, she  
106 will try to assist the board with its request and would forward any revamped data to Ms. O'Brien to  
107 disperse. She added that she would also forward the board's request to combine data for future board  
108 reports to Ms. Bautista. Ms. Bond stated that working from experience with other boards, the Dental  
109 board has a minimal amount of cases open in comparison, so the situation is not as dire as it may seem  
110 when the report is created.

111 Dr. Nielson asked the definition of "Monitor" as a designation on the Board Report. Ms. Bond defined  
112 "Monitor" as when a case is with an AAG's office, on its way to a hearing, in court, or otherwise with a  
113 source outside the division, and the designation represents that it is in a holding pattern.

114 Dr. Nielson reiterated the board's concern with investigative timelines. Dr. Wenzell asked who was  
115 reviewing cases as a board member, as he had not seen one in 2 years. Dr. Johnson replied that he had  
116 received a couple cases for reviewal in the last quarter. Ms. Bond replied that the Investigations team  
117 should be sending reports to all board members down the line for reviewal, adding that if there are  
118 multiple cases for a single licensee, then all subsequent complaints and new case files would be sent to  
119 the same board member to maintain consistency. She reiterated that she heard the board's concerns  
120 about the caseload and would send a brief summary and breakdown of this quarter's data to Ms.  
121 O'Brien for the board to review.

122 Ms. Prieksat also offered her assistance should the board have any further questions regarding the  
123 investigative report or process. The board thanks them both for their time and assistance.

124 Dr. Nielson then moved for a break until 10:00AM for public comment.

125 *Off record 9:45*

126 *On record 10:01*

127

128 **Agenda Item 5 – Public Comment – 10:01 AM**

129 Having quorum re-established, Dr. Nielson called the meeting back to order.

130 Tom Hatcher from BeamReaders provided a background to his services. His company is a web platform  
131 that connects Alaska Dentists with Oral and Maxillofacial Radiologists (OMRs). The radiologists  
132 connected with his company have dental licenses in good standing with other states and provide a  
133 consultation of dental images. There is no patient contact, only doctor to doctor consulting.

134 Mr. Hatcher stated that there are roughly 200 OMRs in the country, and it makes access difficult. Access  
135 to their expertise is critical for assuring the best possible care and aligns with the Alaska Dental board's  
136 mission statement. The surgeons using the platform are not employees of BeamReaders. He asked if  
137 doctors would be able to consult each other through the platform using their out of state dental licenses  
138 when no patient contact was involved, or if an Alaska dental license was required to do so. Mr. Hatcher  
139 then asked if telemedicine business registry is required for use of the BeamReaders platform, and if the  
140 OMR surgeons would need to register as well.

141 Dr. Nielson reviewed the practice of dentistry defined per the board's statutes. He stated that as long as  
142 no diagnosing is involved, the users are not creating a treatment plan, and it is truly just a consultation  
143 between professionals, then [out of state] BeamReaders users would not need an Alaska dental license  
144 or to enlist with the telemedicine registry.

145 Dr. Johnson asked if using BeamReaders falls into overreach in the sense that practitioners are hoping to  
146 improve quality of care with access to higher quality of imaging. Mr. Hatcher replied yes, adding that

147 his platform cannot give a clinical diagnosis as no patient background information is utilized. He added  
148 that in the contract between BeamReaders and the OMR, it states that the final diagnosis is  
149 responsibility of the established dentist of record, and that any billing will be between the licensees and  
150 the platform, not the patient's insurance. Dr. Wenzell asked what the liability exposure for the platform  
151 is if it is helping a dentist with 3D scan and there is a misdiagnosis. Mr. Hatcher replied that attending  
152 dentists and OMRs would have their own personal coverage and BeamReaders would maintain vicarious  
153 liability in that situation, adding that BeamReaders exercises strict quality control with its users and has  
154 a complete onboarding process.

155 Dr. Nielson asked the board if there was any issue with BeamReaders doing business as presented. He  
156 then asked what kind of a statement that BeamReaders was looking for. Mr. Hatcher replied that he  
157 would like a written statement from the board that shows users are operating within the scope of their  
158 licenses, adding that this support could be used specifically for radiologists dealing with their  
159 malpractice carriers. Dr. Nielson asked Mr. Hatcher to get a list of bullet points to Ms. O'Brien, who  
160 would forward them to him so that he could create the statement. The board would then vote on the  
161 proposed statement on OnBoard. Dr. Nielson thanked Mr. Hatcher for his time.

**Agenda Item 6 – New Business – 10:22 AM**

While waiting for Division staff to sign in, Dr. Nielson moved up two items from New Business. Ms. O'Brien provided the update from the Joint Commission on National Dental Examinations (JCNDE), letting the board know that the email she had received was an FYI regarding changes to their testing structure, adding a DLOSCE for hygiene applicants. Dr. Nielson stated that the board has only accepted DLOSCE for the OSCE portion of licensure, as it requires hands-on components, and that the consensus remains that live-patient exams are still required for hygiene candidates.

Dr. Nielson also moved up an introduction to a letter of support that the UA hygiene program had requested. He said that the letter would be addressed to the provost and establish that the dental board supports UA keeping its Hygiene and Assistant programs open to create new licensed professionals in order to combat the ongoing staff shortages in the state. Dr. Nielson reiterated the importance of maintaining a pipeline of licensed professionals in the state and said that he would draft the letter for Ms. O'Brien to then upload into OnBoard for a vote. He then asked Dr. Logan for additional input. Dr. Logan said that the letter's intent was not only to provide support for keeping the program up and running, but to potentially increase the number of slots for candidates in the program.

**Agenda Item 7 – Division Update – 10:31 AM**

Director Chambers introduced the FY21 report. The period ended June 30, and from July 1 through late October went into a re-appropriation period, where the Division is busy reconciling data from the previous year. Director Chambers stated that the review of FY21 is shown as a biennium on the report, adding that the large number for revenue was due to it being a renewal year, which generated the bulk of the board's income. She stated that the board had received an appropriation from the general fund by the governor's office, provided as a response to the board not utilizing fee increases in the last year and falling into debt. She added that the revenue received by the General fund covers money that would have been generated by fee increases and recommended that the board would need to pursue angles to raise licensure fees without drastic jumps in cost to potential licensees. Dr. Nielson asked if the same situation with the general fund happened across all programs and professions, to which Director Chambers replied yes. She added that the governor's office was viewing the situation as a long-term potential policy change, where the public would be asked to share in the cost of its own protection, for example the maintenance of a regulating board. Director Chambers said that they were looking at a way to codify the process into statute so that the public could maintain a vested interest to recoup fees and revenue.

Director Chambers then reviewed direct expenditures. She noted that the board had an increase in inter-agency mediation from OAH and appeals, and that a high year of investigations also contributed to the increase in these costs. Dr. Nielson asked if regulations projects were also a contributing factor, to which Director Chamber replied yes, adding that legal fees, attorney time billed, and regulations projects were all combined in the total direct expenditure cost.

She then reviewed the board's Indirect Expenditures, which are overhead operating costs that are split up between all programs. She noted that the amount changes periodically, dependent upon board activity. Director Chambers stated that costs have gone down over the last 2 years and that FY20 ended up short, mostly due to it being a non-renewal year. She then added that fees are used to make up the difference in cost during the 2-year period, and that a fee analysis is recommended to adjust into the

203 next renewal cycle. Dr. Nielson asked if the fee analysis team would present their findings and consult  
204 with the board before adjusting the new costs, to which Director Chambers replied yes. She added that  
205 how much an individual board received from the general fund was determined by how much the  
206 program would need to increase their license fees, and that bigger, more complex programs would  
207 require more funds.

208 Director Chamber then briefly introduced the FY22 first quarter report. She stated that the board can  
209 review the data on their own time and view it as a prediction of costs for the upcoming year.

210 She then reviewed the Military Spouse Temporary Licensure Bill, SB21, which is meant to streamline the  
211 licensure by credentials process for military members and their spouses while they are transferring to  
212 Alaska. The administration and legislature both support SB21, which will become law Jan 1, 2022, and  
213 boards will need to adjust their regulations accordingly to comply with the new law to create the new  
214 pathway to licensure. She asked if the board was preparing to put out Dr. Nielson's proposed draft of  
215 regulations changes for public comment and notice, to which Dr. Nielson replied yes. He asked if a  
216 separate regulations change was needed to match SB21 with the board's existing routes to temporary  
217 licensure. Director Chambers replied that SB21 is an additional pathway to licensure, and as such is not  
218 supplemental to the board's existing regulations.

219 Dr. Nielson asked if military spouses and personnel were served by SB21, then why wouldn't new initial  
220 licensees by exam get the same opportunity to have a temporary permit within 30 days. Director  
221 Chambers replied that the situation would not be reasonable due to ongoing staff shortages and the size  
222 of the Division staff's workload. She added that the department pushed back on the 30-day  
223 requirement to try and alleviate some of the staff's workload, and that the 30-day clock starts once  
224 100% of an application is received. She reiterated the importance of the board's participation in voting,  
225 to make sure that licensees are processed in the timeliest manner possible. Director Chambers added  
226 that the Division is directing licensees and applicants to utilize the state's MyLicense platform to cut  
227 down on direct correspondence with examiners, freeing time for the examiners to work on processing  
228 licenses instead.

229 Dr. Nielson asked if proposed draft of the regulations was ok to pass to the Division's regulations  
230 specialist for editing. Director Chambers replied that all except Section 4, which required verification of  
231 an applicant's graduation in the form of a copy of an applicant's diploma and transcripts, would work.  
232 She added that Section 4 goes above and beyond what the new law is requiring, and if an applicant has a  
233 substantially equivalent license in another state, then verification of graduation is not needed.

234 Director Chambers stated that the Military Licensing statute is its own statute, so it could conflict with  
235 existing statutes from individual boards. She presented the question of how the board would check  
236 "substantially equivalent" qualifications without requesting additional information from applicants and  
237 exceed its authority. She proposed that the board should review and discuss the proposed regulations,  
238 and then move it forward for public notice. Dr. Nielson asked if the proposed draft was enough to  
239 submit to the Division's regulations specialist to get the process started. Director Chambers said yes and  
240 recommended including portions of 12 AAC 02.956 (b)(2) and (3), which would address application  
241 criteria, such as the department's fees and the applicant providing proof that they meet the  
242 requirements for a temporary license.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Johnson, seconded by Dr. Hronkin, and with unanimous consent, it was RESOLVED that the Board accept the draft of the Military Courtesy License language for regulation with the caveat that the Department is going to look through 12 AAC 02.956-02.957 to comply. The draft would then be added to OnBoard for a vote. 11:22 AM**

Ms. Martin presented a rundown of the proposed legislation, which builds off a bill 2 years ago that allows the board to create specialty licenses. It also would move the oversight of Dental Radiological Equipment to DHSS. Ms. Martin stated that the previous bill was delayed due to the pandemic.

Dr. Nielson asked why sections 44.46.029 and 46.03.022 were necessary and included in the bill, Ms. Martin explained that it is conforming language, and that she would look for further clarification. Director Chambers added that it could possibly be DEC wording, meant to change "Board of Dental Examiners" to "Department of Health and Social Services" as a way make sure the language matches across all mentions of the statute. Dr. Nielson drafted a letter of support from the board for moving the radiological equipment program to DHSS to have on hand for various entities should they request it. He then asked Director Chambers if the department was willing to move forward on the transfer of the program. She replied yes, adding that it makes more sense to keep all types of Radiological Equipment with DHSS, as they already have an existing program. She stated that the Division would still have a hand in collecting revenue as a regulatory cost, which would be part of the board's operating expenses. The revenue would then be portioned out between the Division and DHSS. Director Chambers also noted that adding a specialty license designation will require more staff, which will in turn be reflected in the board's expenditures.

Dr. Nielson presented the letter of support that he created for moving the radiological program to DHSS. He did have some corrections to the letter, changing the verbiage in line 3 from "has...formally requested" to "formally requests", updating paragraph 2 from "adding a specialty dental license category" to "reinstate a specialty license", and changing "concerns" to "requests" in the last paragraph.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Wenzell, seconded by Dr. Hronkin, and with unanimous consent, it was RESOLVED that the Board accept the Letter of Support as amended. 11:41 AM**

Director Chambers thanked Ms. Martin and Sen. Wilson for putting the bill forward, and thanked Dr. Nielson for being proactive and having the letter at the ready. The board thanked Ms. Martin and Director Chambers for their time.

Dr. Nielson moved to break for lunch.

*Off record at: 11:46 AM*

*On Record at: 1:01 PM*

#### **Agenda Item 8 – Lunch – 11:46 AM**

#### **Agenda Item 9 – PDMP Report – 1:02 PM**

Ms. Sherrell began by letting the board know that Quarterly data was not prepared until Thanksgiving, so no full report was available. She did give the board an update on PMPAWARE's features, noting that prescriber report cards had been added to the dashboard in the system. These report cards compare prescribers to peers in the same field to see how an individual licensee's practices are performing. Dr. Nielson asked if anyone had looked at the report card feature, but the board replied no. Ms. Sherrell let

the board know that an individual prescriber can look back at all 4 previous quarters, but only the current quarter will have the interactive report card. Ms. Sherrell also let the board know that the vendor for the PDMP has undergone a re-branding and is now called Bamboo Health. Apriss, the parent company, has been split into different entities, and the one that runs and maintains the PDMP is called Bamboo. She added that PDMP's webpage on the state website had been updated with new troubleshooting links to provide answers to FAQs from licensees on a 24-hour basis.

Ms. Sherrell announced that license integration will be launching on December 9<sup>th</sup>, which will reverify everyone who is in the PDMP. This will also provide faster approval for the PDMP designation once licensure is obtained and the licensee is registered with the program. She added that once this is complete, prescribers would no longer will have a PDMP registration number. Everything related to the PDMP, including delegates, will either show up as a designation or relationship on the professional license. She added that in Mid-December, the PDMP fee would be reduced to \$0. A prescriber would still be required to register with the program but would no longer be charged the fee. Dr. Nielson asked if assistants would be allowed to serve as delegates for dentists, to which Ms. Sherrell replied it would take a statute change to allow for that.

Dr. Nielson briefly introduced the PDMP Penalty Matrix that the board has been developing. He let Ms. Sherrell know that the board will incorporate the existing PDMP penalty matrix into Dental Penalty Matrix that Dr. Wenzell is developing and will submit the entire project for approval. The board then thanked Ms. Sherrell for her time.

#### **Agenda Item 10 – Regulations Project -1:23 PM**

Dr. Nielson introduced the 4 regulations projects that the board started working on after the August 20 meeting. They are the Mannequin Regulation Change to extend the acceptance date for mannequin-based exams for dental candidates, the Residency Language Regulation change which would create an additional pathway to licensure, the Credentialing Regulation change which would clean up some of the criteria from the regulations that the board does not use, and the Specialty Initial Licensure Regulation Change which would allow acceptance of a 1-year residency program towards licensure in addition to a 2-year program. He presented the FAQ forms that he had completed for the Division, which reviewed the board's intent behind the proposed regulation changes, the positives and negatives of enacting the changes, the cost of the changes, and if these changes would affect any existing statutes or regulations. With the FAQ forms completed by Dr. Nielson, he stated that the next step in the regulations process would be for the board to submit them for public comment. He then presented the formal draft written by the Division's regulations specialist, Jun Maiquis for the board to review.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Johnson, seconded by Dr. Hronkin, and with unanimous consent, it was RESOLVED that the Board send the proposed regulations changes out for public comment. 1:38 PM**

Dr. Nielson provided introduction to the upcoming interview process for the board. He offered other members the opportunity to conduct Zoom interviews with Ms. O'Brien for incoming Dental candidates. Dr. Wenzell stated that he would be willing to assist in conducting interviews, and Ms. O'Brien thanked him for the interest.

*Dr. Wenzell left the meeting at 1:41 PM, but quorum was still maintained.*



322 Dr. Nielson moved for a recess until 2:00 PM, at which point Ms. O'Brien would let the board know if the  
323 interview was able to be completed earlier than the original 2:45 PM time.

324 **On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Johnson, and with unanimous consent, it was**  
325 **RESOLVED that the Board recess until 2:00 PM. 1:43 PM**

326 *Off Record at 1:43 PM*

327 *On Record at 2:03 PM*

328

329 Ms. O'Brien informed the board that the Division staff that was requested by the board could not make  
330 the earlier time of 2:00 PM, so the interview would take place as planned at 2:45 PM.

331 *Off Record at 2:03 PM*

332 *On Record at 2:49 PM*

333

334 **Agenda Item 11 – New Business – 2:51 PM**

335 **I, Dr. David Nielson, move that the Alaska State Board of Dental Examiners enter into executive**  
336 **session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for**  
337 **the purpose of discussing matters which by law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be**  
338 **confidential. Richard Downing, Sara Chambers, Terry Ryals, Abby O'Brien, and Richard Moses are**  
339 **invited to attend. Seconded by Dr. Woller. 2:52 PM**

340

341 *Off Record at – 2:52 PM*

342 *Inner Exec Session – 3:09 PM*

343 *On record at – 3:21 PM*

344

345 **On a motion duly made by Dr. Woller, seconded by Dr. Hronkin, and with unanimous consent, it was**  
346 **RESOLVED that the Board leave executive session. 3:21 PM**

347 **On a motion duly made by Dr. Woller, seconded by Dr. Johnson, and with quorum established through**  
348 **a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED that the Board accept the application for Licensure by Credentials for**  
349 **Dr. Richard Downing. 3:21 PM**

350 **Agenda Item 12 – Adjourn – 3:24 PM**

351 Dr. Nielson reviewed the task list for board members. Ms. O'Brien stated that she would re-submit a  
352 Doodle Poll to check the board's availability for the upcoming March and May meetings.

353 **On a motion duly made by Dr. Johnson, seconded by Dr. Hronkin, and with unanimous consent, it was**  
354 **RESOLVED that the Board move to adjourn. 3:25 PM**

355 *Off Record at 3:25 PM*

356

357

358

359

360

361

Respectfully Submitted:

362

363

364

365

\_\_\_\_\_  
Abby O'Brien  
Occupational Licensing Examiner

366

Approved:

367

368

369

\_\_\_\_\_  
David Nielson, DDS, President

370

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

371

DRAFT